

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0397-01
Bill No.: HB 513
Subject: Children and Minors; Domestic Relations
Type: Original
Date: February 15, 2013

Bill Summary: This proposal affirms parental liberty regarding the upbringing, education, and care of their children as a fundamental right which cannot be infringed on without demonstrating a governmental interest of the highest order.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Special School District (SSD)** state the language of this proposal is very broad and may result in more lawsuits by parents against school districts. As such, the SSD believes this proposal would increase legal fees for the District. However, it is not possible to estimate the increased cost.

Oversight assumes the potential for litigation to be speculative and, therefore, assumes the SSD will be able to absorb any potential fiscal impact resulting from this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General** assume any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services (DSS)** state there is no direct fiscal impact to the DSS. The Department's actions are based on state law and the doctrine of the best interest of the child and do not conflict with the provisions of this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator**, the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education**, the **Department of Mental Health**, the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, and the **Parkway School District** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

No additional school districts responded to **Oversight's** request for a statement of fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Office of Administration** did not respond to **Oversight's** request for a statement of fiscal impact.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Attorney General
Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Department of Mental Health
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Social Services
Parkway School District
Special School District

Not Responding:

Office of Administration



Ross Strope
Acting Director
February 15, 2013